

**FY 2018-2019**  
**Sanibel-Captiva Rotary Trust Fund**  
**Scoring Rubric - Overall Consideration for the Grant**

Grant Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

Grant No. \_\_\_\_\_ Date Received: \_\_\_\_\_

Organization has received a grant in the past fiscal year and submitted a Progress Report.

\_\_\_YES      \_\_\_NO      \_\_\_NA

SCORE

<b>Focus</b>	
<b>Mission/Objective</b>	
<b>Organizational/Fiscal Management</b>	
<b>Service Impact</b>	
<b>Sustainability</b>	
<b>Total:</b>	

**Worksheet**

**Focus**

Excellent      5-4pts	Good              3-2pts	Fair                2-1pts	Weak              1-0pt
Meets focus area for the Sanibel-Captiva Rotary Club and Rotary International (RI)	Meets one focus area of either the Sanibel-Captiva Rotary Club or RI	Slightly meets one focus area of either the Sanibel-Captiva Rotary Club or RI	Does not meet a focus area of either the Sanibel-Captiva Rotary Club or RI

**Mission/Objective (Up to Five Points)**

Excellent      5-4 pts	Good              3-2 pts	Fair                2-1 pts	Weak              1-0 pts
The Organization's overall Mission or Objective Statement is clear and the activities associated with the grant application directly aligns with the organization's overall Mission or Objective Statement. project	The grant application fully supports the organization's overall Mission or Objective Statement. .	The grant application partially supports the organization's overall Mission or Objective Statement	The grant application does not support the organization's overall Mission or Objective Statement
Grant application clearly identifies how Rotary funds will be used, and provides	Grant application describes the project and how Rotary funds	Grant application describes the	Grant application does not identify specific activities of

objective measures demonstrating how effective Rotary funds will be used.	will be used but does not provide objective measures to determine how effective Rotary funds will be used.	project activities. a but does not specifically describe how Rotary funds will be used	the project or how Rotary funds will be used.

### **Organizational/Fiscal Management (up to Five Points)**

Excellent 5-4 pts	Good 3-2 pts	Fair 2-1 pts	Weak 1-0 pts
Organization's financial statements, over the last three years, indicate strong fiscal management.	Organization's financial statements were not provided.	Concerns about the ability of the organization to carry out the proposal	Multiple concerns about the ability of the organization to carry out the proposal
Evaluation methods are well defined, clear and fully measurable, and are employed to help the organization achieve its mission and proposed programs	Measureable evaluation methods help the organization achieve its mission and proposed programs	Evaluation methods are not fully measurable, and only minimally help the organization achieve its mission and proposed programs	Evaluation methods are not clear and/or and fully measurable, and do not help the organization achieve its mission and proposed programs
Very confident in the ability of the organization to carry out the project objectives within proposal budget and funding sources	Very minimal concerns about the ability of the organization to carry out the proposed project within budget as described.	Some questions regarding the proposal's budget and other funding sources	Substantial questions regarding the proposal's budget and other funding sources
The proposal itself is very practical and feasible with high probability of success.	The proposal itself is practical and feasible with good probability of success.	The proposal itself is fairly practical and feasible with reasonable probability of success.	The proposal itself is questionably practical and feasible with probability of success.

### **Service Impact (Up to Five Points)**

Excellent 5-4 pts	Good 3-2 pts	Fair 2-1 pts	Weak 1-0 pts
Provides vital services to the community.	Provides significant services to the community.	Provides minimal services to the community.	Does not provide meaningful services to the community.
Significant number of diverse individuals benefiting from the program/project.	Significant number of individuals benefiting from the program/project.	Minimal number of diverse individuals benefiting from the program/project.	Few select individuals benefiting from the program/project.
Significant and effective marketing, promotion,	Appropriate and effective marketing,	Limited and minimally effective	Very minimal marketing,

publicity with Rotary recognition.	promotion, publicity and Rotary recognition.	marketing, promotion, publicity and Rotary recognition.	promotion, publicity and Rotary recognition.
------------------------------------	--	---	--

### Sustainability (Up to Five Points)

Excellent 5-4 pts	Good 3-2 pts	Fair 2-1 pts	Weak 1-0 pts
The Organization's Governing Board has been provided and indicate a diverse/reasonable makeup. There is a well-organized plan to support projects in the future.	The Organization's Governing Board has been provided and the diversity and reasonableness of membership is undetermined. Planning has been given for future projects and support.	The Organization's Governing Board has not been provided but based upon other evidence/factors the organization has sufficient management oversight. A general idea of how to support projects long term was articulated.	The Organization's Governing Board has not been provided and there is no evidence/information regarding organization's management oversight. There has been minimal planning for long term projects and support.
Overall resources for the Organization are clearly available to support the Organization and projects long term.	Overall resources for the Organization are marginal in respect to overall needs of the Organization but has a history of obtaining resources as needed.	Overall resources for the Organization are unknown but based upon other evidence/factors the organization has a history of obtaining resources as needed.	Overall resources for the Organization are unknown and no information is available to evaluate the organization's ability to obtain additional resources.
There is a well-organized plan to support the project in the future. The budget considers future operating costs with funding sources clearly identified and available.	The budget considers future operating costs with funding sources identified but some uncertainty as to availability.	Future operating costs have been quantified to a major extent but availability is not clear.	The budget is vague as to future operating costs and sources for funding.

Reviewer: \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Review: \_\_\_\_\_